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Gene families expand by gene duplication, and resulting paralogs diverge through mutation. Functional diversification can include neofunctionalization as well as subfunctionalization of ancestral functions. In addition, redundancy in which multiple genes fulfill overlapping functions is often maintained. Here, we use the family of 40 *Caenorhabditis elegans* insulins to gain insight into the balance between specificity and redundancy. The insulin/insulin-like growth factor (IIS) pathway comprises a single receptor, DAF-2. To date, no single insulin-like peptide recapitulates all DAF-2-associated phenotypes, likely due to redundancy between insulin-like genes. To provide a first-level annotation of potential patterns of redundancy, we comprehensively delineate the spatiotemporal and conditional expression of all 40 insulins in living animals. We observe extensive dynamics in expression that can explain the lack of simple patterns of pairwise redundancy. We propose a model in which gene families evolve to attain differential alliances in different tissues and in response to a range of environmental stresses.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Organisms must respond appropriately to physiological and environmental cues to develop, locate food, stave off toxic or pathological insults, and survive stress. The ability to adjust to the environment or to overcome stress requires the use of robust regulatory mechanisms that coordinate systems-level physiological responses. For instance, growth, reproduction, and lifespan can be adjusted in response to changes in food availability and environmental conditions such as temperature (Riddle et al. 1997; Marsh and May 2012; MacNeil et al. 2013).

Expanded gene families often accompany an increase in organismal complexity. After duplication, the resulting identical paralogs diverge in both sequence and function. Neofunctionalization occurs when one paralog adopts a novel function that is not shared by the ancestral gene (Ohno 1970), while in subfunctionalization the ancestral function(s) are divided between the two paralogs (Force et al. 1999). In addition to functional divergence, it can also be beneficial for paralogs to maintain (partial) redundancy, for instance, to provide a buffer under adverse genetic or environmental conditions (Burga et al. 2011; MacNeil and Walhout 2011).

Numerous gene families have been identified by whole-genome sequencing and gene annotation. So far, only a few families have been analyzed at a systems level, and the balance between divergence and redundancy is only beginning to be illuminated. For example, in *C. elegans*, basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors have extensively diverged in multiple functional parameters (Grove et al. 2009). However, ETS transcription factors function redundantly in the mouse by binding and regulating the same target genes (Hollenhorst et al. 2007).

The highly conserved insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS) pathway plays a critical role in development, lifespan, stress resistance, and metabolism (Kenyon 2011). The *C. elegans* IIS pathway includes insulin-like peptides, a receptor (DAF-2), and a downstream signaling cascade that impinges on the DAF-16 transcription factor (Kenyon 2011). Loss-of-function mutations in *daf-2* confer a range of phenotypes affecting lifespan, reproduction, metabolism, stress, and dauer formation, and a complete loss of the receptor is lethal (Gems et al. 1998; Tissenbaum and Ruvkun 1998). Dauer is a diapause stage that is evolutionarily conserved among nematodes (Sommer and Streit 2011). When growth conditions are suboptimal, *C. elegans* pheromone levels increase and can utilize the IIS pathway to enter dauer (Riddle et al. 1997). Although dauer formation has been studied extensively by modulating downstream IIS signaling components, less is known about the role of insulin-like genes in this process.

The human genome encodes 10 insulin-like peptides, including insulin, insulin-like growth factors (IGFs), and relaxins (Claeys et al. 2002). *Drosophila melanogaster* has eight insulin-like peptides, or DILPs (Colombani et al. 2012). Remarkably, the *C. elegans* genome encodes 40 insulin-like genes (Duret et al. 1998; Pierce et al. 2001; Li et al. 2003; Li and Kim 2008). Hereafter, we refer to insulin-like peptides or genes as “insulins.” To date, no single insulin mutation or deletion completely recapitulates the phenotypes associated with perturbation of *daf-2* (Supplemental Table S1). In *Drosophila*, genetic interaction analyses have revealed...
functional redundancy between a subset of DILPs (Broughton et al. 2008; Gronke et al. 2010). The lack of loss-of-function phenotypes for most insulins indicates that they also likely act redundantly in C. elegans, although to what extent is unknown.

Genes that act redundantly are often coexpressed, at least in part. Therefore, we decided to annotate spatiotemporal and conditional insulin expression as a starting point to indicate which insulins may function together. C. elegans are transparent, and transgenic animals carrying promoter-driving green fluorescent protein (GFP) constructs can be used to annotate spatiotemporal gene expression in living animals (Chalfie et al. 1994; Reece-Hoyes et al. 2007; Martinez et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009). Here, we compare spatiotemporal insulin expression during development, aging, and under a variety of nutritional and stress conditions. We observed both specific and overlapping insulin expression patterns, as well as numerous dynamic expression changes. Altogether, our data indicate a potential for complex alliances between insulins.

Results

Lack of pairwise redundancy between C. elegans insulins in dauer formation

We used dauer diapause, one of the main outputs of the IIS pathway, to explore the putative extent of redundancy and specificity in the insulin family. Loss-of-function mutations in the DAF-2 receptor result in a dauer-constitutive (Daf-c) phenotype (Kenyon 2011). We found that neither deletions in 12 insulins nor knockdown of any of the 40 insulins results in dauers (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Table S2). As some insulins have been reported to have antagonistic effects on the IIS pathway, we asked whether loss of individual insulins would prevent dauer formation. After dauer-inducing conditions, all mutants analyzed were capable of forming dauers, indicating that they are not dauer defective (Fig. 1B). Together, these results indicate that no single insulin is the sole agonist or antagonist for coordinating dauer formation through the DAF-2 receptor. Next, we asked whether insulins may function in redundant pairs by knocking down each of the 40 insulins in the 10 insulin mutants. However, none of the combinations of insulin mutants and insulin RNAi resulted in the formation of dauers (Fig. 1A). This suggests that
neurons express the greatest number of insulins (Wolkow 2002). Head expressed in hermaphrodites. Almost all insulins are expressed in two strains we observed additional expression patterns in pre-

Differences in insulin expression may result from the inclusion body, and tail neurons as reported previously (Pierce et al. 2001). We stained all transgenic strains with DiI and an-
tenal Table S3): ins-18, ins-33.

insulins upstream of an open reading frame encoding GFP, and generated transgenic animals as described (Praitis et al. 2001). Promoter activity is often a faithful proxy of endogenous gene expression (Rhee-Hoyes et al. 2007; Martinez et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009); therefore, we refer to insulin promoter activity as “insulin expression.”

We annotated insulin expression using a controlled vocabu-
lary to enable the direct comparison between different genes and between different tissues (Martinez et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009). In hermaphrodites, 36 of 40 insulin promoters conferred GFP expression in six major tissue types: nervous system, muscle, repro-
ductive tissue, epithelia, the alimentary system, and coelomo-
cytes (Fig. 2A). These were subcategorized into specific cells/tissues, totaling 19 different tissue/cell types (Fig. 2B). We found that most tissues express multiple insulins (Fig. 2B), and, conversely, that most insulins are expressed in multiple tissues (Fig. 2C). Four in-
sulin promoters did not drive GFP expression in hermaphrodites: ins-19, ins-24, ins-31, and ins-37. However, ins-19 and ins-31 did exhibit GFP expression in males (Thoemke et al. 2005; Supple-
mental Fig. S1). Altogether, we detected activity for 38 of 40 pro-
moters (95%).

Spatiotemporal expression patterns have previously been reported for 17 insulins (Pierce et al. 2001; Li et al. 2003; Murphy et al. 2003, 2007; Michaelson et al. 2010; Cornils et al. 2011). Our data recapitulate the expression patterns for nine of these (Supple-
mental Table S3): ins-1, ins-5, ins-4, ins-7, ins-8, ins-9, ins-11, ins-18, and ins-33. However, for all nine we observed expression in additional tissues. For seven insulins we found different expression patterns than reported previously. For example, we observed ins-22 expression in head neurons, but not in the ventral nerve cord, body, and tail neurons as reported previously (Pierce et al. 2001). Differences in insulin expression may result from the inclusion or exclusion of particular regulatory sequences in the promoter fragments used. Alternatively, expression pattern annotation may not have been examined with the same resolution in different studies and weak expression may have been missed. Indeed, for two strains we observed additional expression patterns in previ-
ously published strains that were not reported (Supplemental Fig. S2).

Next, we examined the expression patterns for the 36 insulins expressed in hermaphrodites. Almost all insulins are expressed in neurons, consistent with the reported importance of neuronal insulin signaling in different organisms (Wolkow 2002). Head neurons express the greatest number of insulins (n = 32) (Fig. 2B). We grouped head neuron expression into three classes: sensory (including amphid neurons), pharyngeal, and ring motor/inter-
neurons (Fig. 2D). As amphid sensory neurons are exposed to the environment, many can be visualized by DiI staining (Tong and Bürglin 2010). We stained all transgenic strains with DiI and an-
otated any overlap between DiI staining and GFP expression. Dil staining was also used to provide orientation for determining which insulins were expressed in each category of head neurons (Fig. 2E). In total, 25 insulins are expressed in sensory neurons, 14

Dynamic changes in insulin expression during development

To determine insulin expression changes during development, we annotated GFP expression at each larval stage (L1–L4) in young adults and mature adults. A value of 1 or 0 was assigned to each tissue/cell type to indicate the presence or absence of GFP expression, respectively (Supplemental Table S4). To capture tissue expression changes through development, we visualized the data into bipartite expression networks (Martinez et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009). These networks contain two types of nodes: genes and cells/tissues. Edges link the gene to the tissue in which it is ex-
pressed. We visualized insulin expression patterns into networks for each of the developmental stages by using Cytoscape (Fig. 3A; Shannon et al. 2003; Supplemental Fig. S3).

Several tissues showed loss of insulin expression as the ani-

Conditional dynamics of insulin expression

The IIS pathway not only modulates C. elegans development, it is also utilized to respond to environmental conditions (Kenyon 2011). We investigated insulin expression in young adult transgenic strains exposed to relevant conditions including heat stress, dauer diapause, starvation, aging, oxidative stress, and glucose, and vi-

Neither glucose nor oxidative stress affected insulin expres-

We also observed specific conditional changes in spatial expres-

We also observed specific conditional changes in spatial expres-
Figure 2. Spatial expression of the 36/40 insulins expressed in *C. elegans* young adult hermaphrodites. (A, top) Cartoon of a *C. elegans* hermaphrodite with colors illustrating insulin expression in six major tissue types: nervous system (green), reproductive tissue (blue), muscle (gray), epithelial tissue (orange), coelomocytes (pink), and alimentary system (purple). (B) Number of insulins expressed in each tissue/cell type. Colors correspond to the six major tissue types in A. (C) Number of tissues/cell types in which each insulin is expressed. (D) Cartoon of three head neuron categories: sensory (red), pharyngeal (black), ring motor/internerneurons (green). (E) Two examples: Pins-1::GFP exhibits GFP expression in many head neurons including amphid sensory neurons (arrowheads) and ring interneurons (circle arrow). Pins-28::GFP exhibits GFP expression in pharyngeal, but not amphid neurons (arrows). Amphid neurons were visualized by DiI staining (red). Yellow indicates that GFP expression (green) occurred in amphid neurons (red). (F) Summary of neuronal insulin expression in three types of head neurons: sensory, ring motor/internereuron, and pharyngeal. Insulins that exhibited overlapping GFP expression and DiI staining are defined as having amphid expression.
aging process (Herndon et al. 2002), and perhaps the Pins-27::GFP transgenic strain may provide a convenient marker to observe the aging process in living animals. Another example is in Pins-4::GFP animals, where GFP expression changes similarly in different conditions; it increases dramatically in the hypodermis of young adults after starvation and aging animals (Fig. 4B).

Comparing insulin expression patterns

We quantified the degree of expression overlap for pairwise combinations of insulins at each stage of development using a tissue overlap coefficient (TsOC) similarity index (Fig. 5A; Martinez et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009). TsOC values were clustered to visually

Figure 3. Insulin expression networks illustrate dynamic tissue activity. (A) Bipartite network connecting 35 insulins to cell/tissues at the young adult stage. Pins-20::GFP animals did not exhibit GFP expression at the young adult stage and were excluded. Circles represent insulins; squares represent cells/tissues. (S) Spermatheca; (C) ceolomocytes; (R) rectum; (HM) head muscle; (VM) vulva muscle; (DTC) distal tip cell; (U) uterus; (BM) body muscle; (H) hypodermis; (EM) enteric muscle; (P) pharynx; (BN) body neuron(s); (VN) vulva neuron(s); (TN) tail neuron(s); (VNC) ventral nerve cord; (PN) pharyngeal neuron(s); (RIM) ring/intermotor neuron(s); (SN) sensory neuron(s); (I) intestine. Purple circles indicate insulins that change in spatial expression through development; orange circles are insulins that do not change. The network is organized as follows: (top to bottom) insulins with no neuronal expression; non-neuronal tissues (excluding the intestine); insulins with neuronal and non-neuronal tissue expression; neuronal tissues and the intestine, insulins with only neuronal and/or intestinal expression. (B) “Dartboards” depicting tissue-centered view of insulin expression. Each ring represents a developmental stage, starting from the center: larval stages L1, L2, L3, and L4, young adult, and adult with eggs (~3- to 4-d-old adult). Each slice represents an insulin with strong GFP (black), weak GFP (gray), or no GFP (white) expression. (Left) Dartboard profile of the pharynx (P); (right) dartboard profile of the distal tip cell (DTC).
identify genes that share high overlap versus those with little to no overlap in expression. At the young adult stage, we observed two clusters of insulins that share high overlap (Fig. 5B). The lack of pairwise gene expression clustering correlates with the lack of pairwise phenotypic redundancy, indicating that there may be more complex patterns of redundancy. We next investigated to what degree expression overlap changes through development. Of 630 possible insulin pairwise comparisons (of 36 insulins...
examined), 37 pairs, consisting of 22 genes, had a TsOC of zero throughout development, illustrating their mutually exclusive expression. There was no insulin that exhibited a unique expression pattern (i.e., with a TsOC $= 0$ with every other insulin). Further, although 11 insulin pairs consisting of 19 insulins exhibited complete overlap (TsOC $= 1$) in a single larval stage, none exhibited complete overlap throughout development. Even though the degree of overlap between insulins changed from one larval stage to the next, most remained consistently higher or lower in their degree of expression overlap (Supplemental Table S5).

To capture the overall degree of overlap of the insulin expression patterns throughout the lifetime of the animal, we averaged the TsOCs between all stages. The top 5% TsOC values (TsOC $\geq 0.68$) were distributed among 18 genes (Fig. 5C). Some insulins (e.g., ins-4) shared high overlap with many other genes in this group, while others (e.g., ins-26) shared high overlap with only two other genes.

Next, we quantified similarity in conditional expression. We first assigned the following values for each condition: $-1$ for a GFP decrease/loss, $0$ for no changes, and $+1$ for a GFP increase/additional tissue expression. Then, using a Spearman rank test, we compared all pairwise combinations to identify insulins that correlate in their response. A conditional overlap of 1, for example, indicates that two insulins responded the same under every condition tested. In contrast, a score of $-1$ indicates that two insulins responded oppositely. Of 325 pairwise combinations (among 26 insulins that exhibit a conditional change), 23 insulin pairs, consisting of 18 genes, shared a score of $-1$, thus responding oppositely. Thirty-six pairs, consisting of 18 genes, shared a score of $+1$, thus responding the same under the conditions tested. Finally, no pair exhibited high correlation both during development and under different conditions (Fig. 5D, red box). This suggests that no insulins are completely coexpressed over all conditions and stages.

**ins-8** expression increases upon loss of **ins-7**

Intuitively, one might expect that close homologs that share high-expression overlap may exhibit redundancy. When we compared pairwise insulin protein sequence identity with their average TsOC scores, we did not observe a correlation between sequence and expression similarity (Fig. 6A).

One outlying pair of insulins, **ins-7** and **ins-8**, is both similar in sequence and in spatiotemporal expression (Fig. 6A,B). To test putative redundancy between these insulins, we first examined developmental timing and dauer formation in single **ins-7**(tm1907) or **ins-8**(tm4144) mutants and found no differences compared to wild-type animals (Fig. 1; data not shown). Close paralogs can compensate each other’s loss by an increase in expression (Raj et al. 2010; Burga et al. 2011). We examined endogenous **ins-7** expression in an **ins-8** mutant and vice versa and found that while loss of **ins-8** did not result in a change in **ins-7** expression, loss of **ins-7** resulted in a $-15$-fold increase in **ins-8** expression (Fig. 6C). In a recent study it was reported that endogenous **ins-7** is...
expressed at higher levels than ins-8 throughout development (Baugh et al. 2011). ins-7 and ins-8 are less than 1 kb apart in the genome; therefore, in the ins-7(tm1907), the ins-8 gene resides in closer proximity to the (stronger) ins-7 promoter (data not shown). To test whether this could explain the observed increase in expression, we examined ins-8 expression upon ins-7 RNAi in both

**Figure 6.** Divergence, overlap, and compensation in expression between ins-7 and ins-8. (A) There is no clear positive correlation between the degree of overlap in expression through development (average TsOC) and sequence similarity of insulins. ins-7 and ins-8 (red dot) are the only pair that share both high-sequence similarity and high TsOC. TsOC is presented as an average of the six TsOC values for each developmental stage. (B) ins-7 and ins-8 exhibit high overlap in expression under standard laboratory conditions (first six rings, from L1 to adult), but exhibit different conditional changes in expression (four outermost rings). (Red) GFP increase; (blue) GFP decrease; (black) no change in GFP expression; (white) no GFP observed. (C) In the absence of ins-7 (orange), ins-8 (purple) expression is increased. (D) ins-8 increases in both wild-type (N2) (P < 0.0001) and rrf-3(pk1426) mutants (P = 0.054) upon RNAi knockdown of ins-7. mRNA abundance was measured by qRT-PCR for C and D. Triplicate repeats from biological duplicate samples were measured. One biological sample is illustrated here. The error bar indicates variation between triplicates. P-values were calculated using a Student's t-test.
wild-type (N2) and RNAi hypersensitive rfp-3(pk1426) mutants (Simmer et al. 2002). Again, we observed an increase in ins-8 expression upon perturbation of ins-7, albeit to a lesser extent (Fig. 6D). Therefore, the up-regulation of ins-8 in the ins-7 mutant background is not due to the close proximity of the two genes. This data also illustrates that RNAi targeting ins-7 does not elicit a complete knockdown.

Ins-7 expression increases upon dauer formation (Fig. 6B). However, ins-7 mutants do not have any defects in dauer formation (Fig. 1; data not shown). Since ins-8 expression increases upon perturbation of ins-7, we asked whether ins-8 functions redundantly with ins-7 in modulating dauer formation. The genomic proximity of the two genes precludes the generation of double mutants. However, neither RNAi of ins-7 in an ins-8 mutant, or vice versa, either in wild-type or in rfp-3(pk1426) mutants conferred a dauer formation phenotype or any other phenotypes including lethality, L1 arrest, or developmental delay compared with vector control RNAi or wild-type animals (data not shown).

Discussion

In this study, we report widespread and dynamic spatiotemporal expression of C. elegans insulin during development and under different physiological and environmental conditions. Not all expression patterns may completely capture endogenous insulin expression for several reasons. First, the promoter fragments used may lack regulatory elements required for expression in some tissues or to repress expression in others. Second, expression was annotated to the resolution of individual cells in some but not all tissues. Annotating at the level of individual cells is challenging, especially in neurons where insulin is abundantly expressed. Thus, the overlap in expression for neuronal insulins may be lower than estimated here. Third, we did not observe GFP expression in the germline, most likely because of transgene silencing (Kelly et al. 1997). Finally, insulin are secreted peptides that may act cell non-autonomously (Billing et al. 2011; Bai et al. 2012). Nonetheless, we provide both a first comprehensive data set as well as a set of transgenic strains, both of which enable future studies of the functional dynamics of the entire C. elegans insulin gene family in vivo.

Several examples illustrate how the insulin expression patterns provide a resource for the derivation of functional hypotheses. First, the expression patterns of individual insulins can likely be used to predict specific functions. For instance, we found that ins-3 is expressed in the distal tip cell, spermathea, and the spermatheca-uterine valve. Previously, it has been shown that perturbations of ins-3 result in defects in germline proliferation, and that this is due to the function of ins-3 in the soma (Michaelson et al. 2010). Second, changes in expression of individual insulins can likely be used to infer specific functions as well. For example, it has been shown that daf-28 is involved in dauer formation, and we find that its expression is turned off in dauer (Cornils et al. 2011; this study). Third, the expression data can likely be used to derive hypotheses about complex functional redundancies. For instance, 14 insulins change in expression as the animals age; eight of which increase and six of which decrease in expression. Future studies of which insulins are receptor agonists versus antagonists will be important to derive hypotheses regarding combinatorial insulin function in lifespan regulation.

Remarkably, pairwise combinations of insulin loss/knockdown did not result in defects in dauer formation. This could be due to inefficient insulin knockdown in neuronal tissues required for dauer formation (Hu 2007). Alternatively, there may be more complex patterns of redundancies between more than two insulins. The lack of 1:1 insulin relationships is supported by the observation of two clusters in the expression network, rather than simple pairwise coexpression patterns.

Two insulins, ins-7 and ins-8, share high-sequence similarity and expression overlap through development. We find that ins-8 levels increase in the absence of ins-7, but did not detect compensatory changes in ins-7 expression upon loss of ins-8. Knockdown of ins-7 in an ins-8 mutant or vice versa did not affect dauer formation. However, loss of ins-7 slightly extends lifespan (Murphy et al. 2007; Supplemental Fig. S7). This suggests that, while ins-8 increases upon loss of ins-7, it cannot fully compensate for the loss of ins-7 in modulating longevity, further illustrating the lack of 1:1 relationships between insulins. Additionally, while loss of ins-7 extends lifespan, it does not recapitulate the dramatic lifespan extension caused by a loss-of-function in daf-2. Together, this data suggests that ins-7 and ins-8 may genetically interact with other insulins. Alternatively, it is conceivable that the overexpression of ins-8 in the ins-7(tm1907) rather than the loss of ins-7 may be responsible for the observed lifespan extension of ins-7 mutants, which would suggest that ins-8 is an antagonist, whereas ins-7 is an agonist. However, dauer formation was not observed upon loss of ins-7 nor were ins-8(tm4144) mutants dauer defective (Fig. 1). This illustrates that ins-8 is not likely an antagonist.

In the wild, C. elegans is exposed to a variety of environmental conditions. Food and temperature serve as modulators of dauer formation (Riddle et al. 1997). By entering dauer, development ceases until a favorable environment becomes available. Intuitively, differential insulin activity could ensure that a whole-organism response, such as dauer formation, only occurs under specific conditions and not in response to any type of stress. Remarkably, we found that insulins that share high expression overlap during development are distinct from those that share similarities under different conditions (Fig. 7A). This may reflect a need for specificity in coordinating development versus responding to different stresses. The expansion of the insulin family and its genetic wiring may provide the animal with a complex and highly organized repertoire of responses that can be tailored according to developmental or environmental need. In biological systems, different components can be interchangeable under certain conditions, but perform distinct functions under other conditions. Such redundancy, or degeneracy, contributes to the robustness, complexity, and evolvability of a system (Edelman and Gally 2001; Kitano 2004). We propose that the C. elegans insulin family has evolved to attain a type of “block design,” a term used in combinatorial mathematics. An evolved block design can lead to the formation of different functional alliances in different cells for different developmental versus environmental conditions (Fig. 7B). Cooperative action between insulins may provide a robust framework for interpreting physiological and environmental cues and ensuring that the DAF-2 receptor initiates the appropriate response. For instance, daf-28 and ins-6 cooperate in modulating dauer formation. However, each insulin also functions specifically in dauer entry or exit, respectively (Cornils et al. 2011). C. elegans likely utilize 40 insulins to achieve both specificity and redundancy to maintain fitness in a complex environment. The total possible number of combinations in different sets, for instance with three, four, or even more, is immense, and potentially provides the animal with a large and flexible repertoire of possibilities to recognize and respond appropriately to many environmental stimuli. Future studies will illuminate the generality of this observation for insulins in other nematodes, as well as
other gene families in *C. elegans* and other complex multicellular organisms such as humans.

**Methods**

**Generation of Pins::GFP transgenic animals**

We used gene predictions available in WormBase WS150 (http://ws150.wormbase.org). In total, 22 of the 40 promoter constructs were obtained from the Promoterome collection (Dupuy et al. 2004). The remaining promoters were PCR amplified and cloned as described (Dupuy et al. 2004). Primer sequences are provided in Supplemental Table S6. Transgenic animals were generated by microparticle bombardment as described (Reece-Hoyes et al. 2007). For each Pins::GFP reporter construct, two to six independent lines were obtained. At least two lines were examined for GFP expression, and the line that showed the highest transmission was used further. Nineteen of 40 Pins::GFP strains harbored the GFP construct integrated in the genome. For all nonintegrated lines, 50–100 animals were analyzed to capture each component of the...
expression pattern. An increase in expression was recorded if the level of GFP dramatically increased and/or if expression in additional tissues was observed, while an expression decrease was defined by a dramatic reduction in GFP levels and/or a complete loss of expression in at least one cell/tissue type. All strains are available from the C. elegans Genetics Center, or upon request.

**Pins**:GFP expression pattern annotation

GFP expression was examined in 40–50 animals by fluorescence microscopy using a Zeiss Axiostar 2 plus microscope as described previously (Reece-Hoyes et al. 2007; Martinez et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009). Temporal expression patterns were classified into six stages: four larval stages (L1–L4), young adult (no eggs), and ~3- to 4-d-old adult with eggs. Developmental stages were defined by the length and number of cells in the gonad (wormatlas.org). Spatial expression patterns were classified into 19 categories that correspond to tissues, cell types, organs, and individual cells. Temporal and spatial expression was stabilized into a binary code, where “1” represents expression detected and “0” indicates no expression detected.

**Tissue overlap analysis**

Tissue overlap coefficient (TsOC) analysis was performed as described (Vermeiren et al. 2007; Martinez et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2009), with minor modifications. Nodes were defined as insulins and the cell/tissue in which they are expressed. TsOC was analyzed for each pair of nodes by a geometric formula (Goldberg and Roth 2003).

**Conditional expression analysis**

Each strain was determined sufficient by testing with genes known to respond to the respective condition (see Supplemental Fig. S5). Oxidative stress: 1 mL of 200 mM paraquat was added to 10 mL of OP50-seeded NGM plates. Young adults were added and incubated at 20°C for 6 h. Verified using Pgst-4::GFP transgenics (Tawe et al. 1998). Glucose: 1 mL of 2% glucose was added to 10 mL of OP50-seeded NGM plates. Young adults were added and incubated at 20°C for 6, 12, and 24 h. Verified using daf-16a::GFP transgenics (Lee et al. 2009). Stress: L4 larvae and young adults were placed on OP50-seeded NGM plates and incubated at 30°C for 6 h. Plates were not stacked to assure that heat was equally distributed among all plates. Verified using Phsp-4::GFP transgenics (Heschl et al. 2002). Starvation: L4 and young adult animals were washed twice using 1X M9 buffer then placed on peptone-free and Baillie 1990). Starvation: L4 and young adult animals were among all plates. Verified using Pins::GFP transgenics used in examining expression pattern differences, and Peter Breen and Sarah Pierce for their guidance in acquiring previously published strains. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). This work was supported in part by the Ellison Medical Foundation to A.J.M.W. and H.A.T., and NIH grants DK068429 to A.J.M.W. and AG025891 to H.A.T. A.D.R. was supported by NIH pre-doctoral fellowship AG041605.
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